

Eötvös Loránd University

Faculty of Science

An improvement on a result of Davies

Thesis for Scientific Student Associations' Conference

Márk Kökényesi

*Mathematics BSc. Third year
(mark.p.kokenyesi@gmail.com)*

Advisor: Tamás Keleti

*Professor, ELTE Institute of Mathematics
Department of Analysis*



Budapest, 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1917, Kakeya posed a question: Among sets, in which a segment of unit length can be fully rotated, which one has minimal area? Besicovitch [1] showed that there is no such minimum, the area of such a set can be arbitrarily small. In 1971, Davies [2] demonstrated that more is true: finitely many parallel segments can be simultaneously rotated, in an arbitrarily small area. Our main result is even stronger:

Theorem 1.1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a continuous motion of the unit square during which every initially vertical segment sweeps at most ε area, while the square does a full rotation.*

A set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to have the Kakeya property if it has two positions, between which the set can be continuously moved in an arbitrarily small area [3]. It is said to have the strong Kakeya property if this applies to any two of its positions.

It is easy to show that the result of Davies [2] implies that a finite union of parallel line segments possess the strong Kakeya property. In the same paper he showed that the segments must be parallel, two non-parallel segments do not have the strong Kakeya property.

Much effort has been put into characterizing sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the Kakeya property and the strong Kakeya property. M. Csörnyei, K. Héra, and M. Laczkovich [3] showed that closed connected set with the Kakeya property must be a subset of a line or circle. K. Héra, and M. Laczkovich [9] proved that short enough circular arcs do in fact possess the strong Kakeya property. Currently the strongest version of this statement is due to A. Chang and M. Csörnyei [8]. They generalized the result of Davies in another direction by showing that a countable union of parallel line segments which is bounded and has finite total length can be rotated in an arbitrarily small area. It is still unknown whether all non-complete circular arcs possess the strong Kakeya property.

Let V be the set of planes, which have a 45° angle with the $\{y = 0\}$ plane. In Section 2 we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *There exists a closed set A in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is the union of planes in V at a bounded distance from the origin, contains a translate of every plane in V , and intersects every vertical line in a set of measure zero.*

The proof relies on a duality argument and utilizes the construction of Talagrand [4], for an English description see Appendix A. in [5].

Lemma 1.2 is closely related to the question of rotating a square. The connection can be seen if we think of the plane as the $\{y = 0\}$ plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and raise every vertical segment of the unit square by its distance from the origin. During the motion of the unit square, the motion of each initially vertical segment corresponds to the motion of the raised rectangle at a given height.

Thus Lemma 1.2 can be thought of as giving a discontinuous motion of the unit square, during which it achieves every direction. In Section 3 we turn this motion into a continuous one and prove Theorem 1.1.

Section 4 will discuss how Theorem 1.1 can be applied to show that certain sets in $\mathbb{R}^3$ have the strong Kakeya property. To demonstrate the connecting idea, consider the curved surface of a cylinder with an axis parallel to the z -axis. If we look at this set from the direction of the y -axis then we see a subset of a square. We can apply a motion given by Theorem 1.1 that rotates this square by 90° . This will define a motion on the surface as well. During the motion of the surface the area swept in the $\{y = h\}$ plane will be at most the sum of the areas swept by the segments in this plane, which is less than ε for each segment. Therefore, this

motion moved the surface of the cylinder with an axis parallel to the z -axis to one with an axis parallel to the x -axis in an arbitrarily small volume. This idea applies to a much wider family of sets, not just the curved surface of a cylinder.

We say that a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is *cylinderlike* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for almost all t the set $\{x = t\} \cap K$ can be covered by n vertical lines. We say that a compact set K is *cylinderlike from direction d* if there is an orthogonal coordinate system, in which the x -axis is parallel to d , and K is cylinderlike.

Theorem 1.3. *If K is cylinderlike from two non-parallel directions d_1, d_2 , then K has the strong Keakeya property.*

It follows that the union of a finite number of parallel curved cylinder surfaces possesses the strong Keakeya property. The above theorem also implies that a compact subset of any two planes has the strong Keakeya property.

More general examples of such sets are given at the end of Section 4.

2. PLANES OF CERTAIN ANGLE, PROOF OF LEMMA 1.2

This section will exclusively deal with the proof of Lemma 1.2.

Let $a \cdot b$ denote the standard dot product of a and b . For a vector v let

$$p_v(X) = \{x \cdot v \mid x \in X\}.$$

It is clear that it is sufficient to construct a set fulfilling the conditions, with the exception that it only contains planes in V , whose directions form an interval.

We will use a duality argument and encode planes with points of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Similar encodings are often used in planar cases, see for examples [6],[7]. To the point (a, b, c) assign the plane, which contains $(0, 0, a)$, has slope b in the $y = 0$ plane, slope c in the $x = 0$ plane. It is easy to see that a point (x, y, z) is on the plane corresponding to (a, b, c) if and only if $a + bx + cy = z$. Through straightforward calculation a plane is in V when its triple has $c^2 - b^2 = 1$.

2.1. Requirements for our codeset and some basic observations. Let K be a set of triples, and A the union of the corresponding planes. If K is compact, then A is closed and every plane is at a bounded distance from the origin. The point (x, y, z) is in A if K contains a triple (a, b, c) , which satisfies $a + bx + cy = z$, meaning K has a point in this plane. The set $(x, y, -) \cap A$ is $p_{(1,x,y)}(K)$, hence their measure is equal. Hence if K is such that for all vectors v , of the form $(1, x, y)$ we have $\lambda(p_v(K)) = 0$, then A intersects every vertical line in a set of measure 0. For the directions of the planes in A to form an interval, we need $p_{(0,1,0)}(K)$ to be an interval.

Let the 3 coordinates of the space containing K be a, b, c . By the above argument in order to prove Lemma 1.2, it is enough to prove the following lemma.

Let $H = \{(a, b, c) \mid c^2 - b^2 = 1, c > 0\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a compact set $K \subset H$ such that $p_{(0,1,0)}(K)$ is an interval and $\lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(K)) = 0$ for all x, y .*

The remainder of this section deals with the proof of Lemma 2.1.

2.2. Preliminaries for constructing K . Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H$, $f(a, b) = (a, b, \sqrt{1+b^2})$.


We will construct $K' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $K = f(K')$ has the desired properties.

For any x, y, s we have $s \in p_{(1,x,y)}(K)$ if and only if K has a point r , for which

$$r \cdot (1, x, y) = s.$$

Such points r on H form a curve:

$$c_{x,y,s} = \{(s - x \sinh(t) - y \cosh(t), \sinh(t), \cosh(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

FIGURE 1. From $P_{i-1,j}$ to $P_{i,2j-1}$ and $P_{i,2j}$.

Define

$$C_{x,y,s} = \{(s - x \sinh(t) - y \cosh(t), \sinh(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then

$$s \in p_{(1,x,y)}(K) \iff (K \cap C_{x,y,s} \neq \emptyset) \iff (K' \cap C_{x,y,s} \neq \emptyset).$$

For each x, y we define a function $\alpha_{x,y}$ on the plane: To calculate $\alpha_{x,y}(a, b)$, take the curve $C_{x,y,s}$ through (a, b) and take the signed angle between the tangent of this curve at (a, b) and the b axis. Observe that $\alpha_{x,y}$ is never $\pm 90^\circ$. The function $\tan(\alpha_{x,y}(a, b))$ is continuous as a function of x, y, a, b . Thus, it is uniformly continuous on a compact set, and so

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \forall G \forall \varepsilon \exists \delta \forall r_1, r_2 \in [0, 1]^2, |x|, |y| \leq G, |r_1 - r_2| < \delta \\ & \implies |\tan(\alpha_{x,y}(r_1)) - \tan(\alpha_{x,y}(r_2))| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Construction of K' . We will prove that the set constructed by Talagrand [4] (see also in [5]) is a suitable set. For the sake of completeness, we repeat the construction.

By induction, we shall construct a decreasing sequence (K'_m) of finite unions of closed rectangles such that $K' = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty K'_m$ has the desired properties. To begin with let $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $K'_1 = [0, 1]^2$. We now suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \varepsilon_m \leq 1/m$, and that K'_m is a union of rectangles


$$R_n = [a_n, a_n + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{N}] \times \left[\frac{n-1}{N}, \frac{n}{N} \right], \quad 1 \leq n \leq N,$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}^+, a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. We will now construct K'_{m+1} .

We fix $1 \leq n \leq N$, define $P_{0,1} = R_n$, and choose $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_m \geq \frac{2m}{\varepsilon_m}$. Starting with $P_{0,1}$, we construct parallelograms $P_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 2^i$. We take the midpoint of every side of $P_{i-1,j}$, let the midpoint of the left side be $A_{i,j}$, and the midpoint of the right side $B_{i,j}$. Take the midpoint of $A_{i,j}B_{i,j}$ and let the parallelograms $P_{i,2j-1}, P_{i,2j}$, and the angle α_i be as indicated by Fig. 1.

From $P_{i-1,j}$ to $P_{i,2j-1}$ and $P_{i,2j}$. It follows by induction from the construction that the two intervals $p_{(0,1)}(P_{i,2j-1})$ and $p_{(0,1)}(P_{i,2j})$ have length $2^{-i}/N$ and that $|A_{i,j} - B_{i,j}| = 2^{-i}\varepsilon_m/N$. Therefore, in the last step also using that $k_m \geq \frac{2m}{\varepsilon_m}$, we obtain

$$\tan \alpha_i = \frac{\varepsilon_m}{2} + \tan \alpha_{i-1},$$

FIGURE 2. From $P_{k_m, j}$ to $Q_{k_m, j}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & \implies \tan \alpha_i = \frac{i\varepsilon_m}{2}, \\
 & \implies \tan \alpha_{k_m} \geq m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall replace each $P_{k_m, j}$ by a union of rectangles $Q_{k_m, j}$ (see Fig. 2) such that $p_{(0,1)}(Q_{k_m, j}) = p_{(0,1)}(P_{k_m, j})$ and their union $T(K'_m)$ satisfies $\lambda(p_{(1,0)}(T(K'_m))) \leq \frac{1}{m+1}$. To that end we choose a suitable multiple N' of $2^{k_m}N$, an $\varepsilon_{m+1} \in (0, \frac{1}{m+1})$, which is sufficiently small, and replace each of the parallelograms $P_{k_m, j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^{k_m}$, by a subset $Q_{k_m, j}$, which is a union of rectangles of the form $[t, t + \varepsilon_{m+1}/N'] \times [u, u + 1/N']$ as indicated by Fig. 2. Using (2.1) we can choose N' to be large enough, such that the oscillation of $\tan(\alpha_{x,y})$ is less than $\frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{2}$ in each rectangle, whenever $x, y < m$.

If m is odd, let $K'_{m+1} = T(K'_m)$. If m is even, we take $K'_{m+1} = S(T(S(K'_m)))$, where S denotes the reflection about the line $\{b = 1/2\}$.

2.4. Showing that K is suitable. Recall that $K = f(K')$. It is clear that $K \subset H$ and $p_{(0,1,0)}(K)$ is an interval, so it remains to be proved that $\lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(K)) = 0$ for any x, y . We now fix x, y . Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the set on which $\alpha_{x,y}$ is non-negative. It is easy to verify that F is a half-plane with a defining line parallel to the a -axis, or the whole plane or possibly the empty set. We prove that

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(K \cap f(F))) = 0.$$

The reasoning is similar if $\alpha_{x,y}$ is negative, in that case we need to use that for even m we have $K'_{m+1} = S(T(S(K'_m)))$. Thus (2.3) implies that K is a suitable set.

The following claim clearly implies (2.3), therefore it will complete the proof of Lemma 2.1 and thus the proof of Lemma 1.2.

Claim 2.2. *If m is large enough and even, then*

$$\lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(K'_m \cap F))) \leq \frac{3\sqrt{1+x^2+y^2}}{2(m-1)}.$$

Proof. Let M be the maximum of $\tan(\alpha_{x,y})$ on $[0, 1]^2$. We can choose m to be large enough, such that $x, y < m$, $n+2 < k_m$, since $k_m > \frac{2m}{\varepsilon_{m-1}}$ and $n < \frac{2M}{\varepsilon_{m-1}}$. The set $(K'_{m-1} \cap F)$ is a union of rectangles, this follows from the observation made on the possible shapes of F . Let R be one of these rectangles. The oscillation of $\tan(\alpha_{x,y})$ on R is less than $\frac{\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2}$, since $x, y < m$. Let n be such that

$$\tan(\alpha_{x,y}) \subset \left[\frac{n\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2}, \frac{(n+2)\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2} \right]$$

on R .




FIGURE 3. Two generations of parallelograms

Let $A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}$ be the segment we obtain by scaling $A_{n+1,j}B_{n+1,j}$ by $\frac{3}{2}$ from its midpoint (Fig. 3). We claim that every curve $C_{x,y,s}$ intersecting $P_{n+2,4j-i}$, where $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ must intersect the segment $A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}$. This can be verified for each parallelogram separately. We will only check this for $P_{n+2,4j-3}$, the others can be done similarly. Suppose $C_{x,y,s}$ intersects $P_{n+2,4j-3}$. We claim that if it intersects the line of $A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}$ right of $B'_{n+1,j}$, then it must be right of the segment $B'_{n+1,j}V_2$ in the horizontal strip between $B'_{n+1,j}$ and V_2 . Indeed, this follows from the fact that by (2.2) the segment $B'_{n+1,j}V_2$ has an angle of $\arctan\left(\frac{(n+2)\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2}\right)$ with the b -axis, since the tangent of the curve has a smaller angle with the b -axis on R . On the left side, a slightly stronger statement is true. If the curve intersects the line of $A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}$ left of $A_{n+1,j}$, then it must be left of the segment $A_{n+1,j}V_1$ in the horizontal strip between $A_{n+1,j}$ and V_1 (this segment has an angle of $\arctan\left(\frac{n\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2}\right)$ with the b -axis).

The segment $A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}$ is of length $\frac{2^{-(n+1)}3\varepsilon_{m-1}}{N}$. Note that $f(A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j})$ is a segment of the same length. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(\cup_{i=0}^3 P_{n+2,4j-i}))) &\leq \lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(A'_{n+1,j}B'_{n+1,j}))) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2^{-(n+1)}3\varepsilon_{m-1}}{N} \sqrt{1+x^2+y^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(R \cap K'_m))) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(\cup_{i=0}^3 P_{n+2,4j-i}))) \leq \frac{3\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2N} \sqrt{1+x^2+y^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(K'_m \cap F))) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda(p_{(1,x,y)}(f(R_i))) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{3\varepsilon_{m-1}}{2} \sqrt{1+x^2+y^2} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{1+x^2+y^2}}{2(m-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. ROTATING A SQUARE, THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. Neighbourhoods of A . Call a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^3$ *interesting* if its sides are of length 1 and $\sqrt{2}$, one of the shorter sides lies in the plane $\{y = 0\}$, it has a 45° angle with the $\{y = 0\}$ plane and is in the $y \geq 0$ half-space. By the *neighbourhood of an interesting rectangle* we will mean a set that contains the rectangle and is relatively open in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 3.1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set U such that for every possible direction U contains a neighbourhood of an interesting rectangle in that direction, while it intersects the $\{y = h\}$ plane in a set of measure less than ε for all h .*

Proof. Let A be the set provided by Lemma 1.2. Fix an N large enough that

$$A \cap ((-N, N) \times [0, 1] \times (-N, N))$$

contains an interesting rectangle in every direction. For any $\delta > 0$ let A_δ be $A + \{(-\delta, \delta) \times \{0\} \times (-\delta, \delta)\}$, where $+$ denotes the Minkowski sum and define A_0 to be A . The set A_δ is open for all $\delta > 0$, since A is a union of planes and we replace each plane with an open set. Define

$$B_\delta = A_\delta \cap ([-N, N] \times [0, 1] \times [-N, N]).$$

The set B_δ is a relatively open in $[-N, N] \times [0, 1] \times [-N, N]$ for all $\delta > 0$, therefore B_δ contains a neighbourhood of an interesting rectangle in every possible direction.

Let $f_\delta(h) = \lambda(B_\delta \cap \{y = h\})$. Using the fact that B_0 is compact, it is easy to verify that the function $f_\delta(h)$ is continuous in δ . Since $f_0(h) = 0$, $f_\delta(h)$ converges to 0 as δ tends to 0.

We claim that

$$(3.1) \quad f_{\delta+t}(h_1) \geq f_\delta(h_2)$$

whenever $|h_1 - h_2| < t$. Indeed for every point p in $B_\delta \cap \{y = h_2\}$ the set A contains a plane that intersects $\{y = h_2\}$ in a line, which is less distance away from p than δ . This plane has a 45° angle with the $\{y = h_2\}$ plane and intersects $\{y = h_1\}$ as well.

By (3.1), $f_\delta(h)$ is upper semicontinuous in h . Hence $f_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is a sequence of upper semicontinuous functions on $[0, 1]$, which is pointwise monotonically decreasing, and pointwise converges to 0. It is easy to prove that such a sequence must uniformly converge to 0. Therefore there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $U = B_{\delta_0}$ has all the required properties. □

3.2. Rotating an interesting rectangle. Let R be an interesting rectangle. Call a continuous motion M of R *interesting* if at every moment it keeps R interesting.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an interesting motion of R , during which R does a full a rotation, but $R \cap \{y = t\}$ sweeps an area less than ε for all t .*

Proof. We will use the idea of Pál joins to move between translated copies. We claim that for any interesting rectangle R' parallel to R there exists an interesting motion of R during which $R \cap \{y = t\}$ sweeps less than ε area for all t and R is translated to R' .

There is a direction in which we can translate R in such a way, that it sweeps 0 area at every height, call this its free direction. We will move R in an N-like shape. We translate it in its free direction, there we rotate it by a small angle, then we translate it in its free direction, and rotate it in the opposite direction, by the same angle. If we translate it far enough, then the angle of rotation can be arbitrarily small, and so the area swept at each height will be small.

Let U be the set given by Claim 3.1. For any possible direction d , U contains the neighbourhood of an interesting rectangle R_d , which is in the direction of d . For each R_d , there is an interesting motion, that rotates the rectangle a small amount within U . Since S^1 is compact, we can choose finitely many directions, whose neighbourhoods cover all directions. We have seen that we can move between parallel copies of R while sweeping an arbitrarily small area at all heights, therefore these will only add an arbitrarily small area to the arbitrarily small area of U at each height. $\square$

3.3. Proof of the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M be the motion of an interesting rectangle R given by Lemma 3.2. Let $T = R \cap \{y = 0\}$. If at every moment we project R onto the $\{y = 0\}$ plane, then we get M' , a motion of the unit square. Observe that during M' the segment at t distance from T sweeps the same area, as $R \cap \{y = t\}$ does during M . $\square$

4. SETS WITH THE STRONG KAKEYA PROPERTY IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

Recall that we say that a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is *cylinderlike* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for almost all t the set $\{x = t\} \cap K$ can be covered by n vertical lines.

Lemma 4.1. *If φ is a rotation around the x -axis, K is cylinderlike, then K can be moved to $\varphi(K)$ in an arbitrarily small volume.*

Proof. We will look at K from the direction of the x -axis, and give a motion that keeps the x -coordinate of every point constant. The set K is bounded, so its projection onto the $\{x = 0\}$ plane can be covered by a square. Apply Theorem 1.1 to this square. This naturally induces a motion on K . For almost all planes perpendicular to the x -axis the swept area will be less than $n\varepsilon$, since if a plane contains n vertical segments, then the motion of each segment corresponds to the motion of a vertical segment of the square and these all sweep an area less than ε . Applying Fubini's theorem we are done. $\square$

Remark 4.2. It is easy to check that the proof of the above lemma also works for *general cylinderlike* sets defined as follows: For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ let $n(t)$ be the minimum (possibly infinite) number of vertical lines required to cover $K \cap \{x = t\}$. Say that K is *general cylinderlike* if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} n(t)dt < \infty$.

Recall that we say that a compact set K is *cylinderlike from direction d* if there is an orthogonal coordinate system, in which the x -axis is parallel to d , and K is cylinderlike.

By Lemma 4.1: If K is cylinderlike from direction d , then K can be rotated around d in an arbitrarily small volume.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Translations can be done again with Pál joins: if K is cylinderlike from some direction, then there exists a direction in which K can be translated in 0 volume, we can bring it very far from its original position sweeping 0

volume, there we rotate it by a very small angle, translate it in the free direction and rotate it back by the same angle.

Let $\varphi(K)$ be the desired position, by using translations we can suppose that the origin is a fixed point of φ . Since d_1 and d_2 are non-parallel, the vectors $\varphi(d_1), \varphi(d_2)$ uniquely determine φ . Let their distance on S^2 be t . From this point forward every distance is the arc distance on S^2 . By Lemma 4.1 we can rotate K around the vectors d_1, d_2 in an arbitrarily small volume. Therefore, it is enough to solve the following problem: Take S^2 and stick two needles (n_1 and n_2) into it, at directions d_1 and d_2 respectively. The following step is allowed: take a needle and rotate the sphere around it. The other needle moves accordingly. We need to prove that we can get the needles to any pair of fixed points p_1 and p_2 , which are t distance apart, in a finite number of steps.

First case: Suppose n_1 and p_1 are further than t apart. Then we rotate around n_1 in a way such that n_2 moves onto a short arc between n_1 and p_1 . Then we rotate around n_1 by π . It is clear that after a finite number of steps n_1 and p_1 will be at most t distance apart.

Second case: Suppose n_1, p_1 are at most t apart. We rotate around n_1 in a way such that n_2 moves onto the circle centred around p_1 of radius t . We can rotate around n_2 in such a way that n_1 moves into p_1 . Now we can rotate n_2 into p_2 by rotating around n_1 . $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *If for a compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and non-parallel directions d_1 and d_2 , such that every line perpendicular to d_i intersects A in at most n points for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $A \times [0, 1]$ has the strong Kakeya property.*

Proof. The set $A \times [0, 1]$ is cylinderlike from directions d_1 and d_2 , therefore by Theorem 1.3 it has the strong Kakeya property. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *If A can be covered by a finite union of graphs of Lipschitz functions, then $A \times [0, 1]$ possesses the strong Kakeya property.*

Proof. The graph of a Lipschitz function intersects every steep enough line in one point, therefore we can use Corollary 4.3. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *If $K = A \times [0, 1]$ is compact, and A is such that it can be covered by a finite number of monotonic functions, then K possesses the strong Kakeya property.*

Proof. Under such conditions A intersects every horizontal and vertical line in at most n points, where n is the number of monotonic functions required to cover A . Again Corollary 4.3 can be applied. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *The curved surface of a cylinder has the strong Kakeya property. Moreover, the finite union of parallel curved cylinder surfaces possesses the strong Kakeya property.*

Corollary 4.7. *If K can be covered by a finite set of planes that have normal vectors in a common plane, then K has the strong Kakeya property.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch, On Kakeya's problem and a similar one, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 312–320, 1928.
- [2] R. O. Davies, Some remarks on the Kakeya problem, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 69 (1971), 417–421.
- [3] M. Csörnyei, K. Héra, and M. Laczkovich, Closed sets with the Kakeya property, *Mathematika*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 184–195, 2017.
- [4] M. Talagrand, Sur la mesure de la projection d'un compact et certaines familles de cercles, *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 104, p. 3, 1980.

- [5] W. Hansen, Littlewood's one-circle problem, revisited, *Expositiones Mathematicae*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 365-374, 2008.
- [6] T. Kátay, The intersection of typical Besicovitch sets with lines, *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 451-461, 2020.
- [7] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [8] A. Chang, M. Csörnyei, The Kakeya needle problem and the existence of Besicovitch and Nikodym sets for rectifiable sets, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 1084-1114, May 2019.
- [9] K. Héra and M. Laczkovich, The Kakeya Problem for Circular Arcs, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 150, pp. 479-511, 2016.