

Köszönetnyilvánítás

A csapdázásokat a „Silva Naturalis” (TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0004) projekt támogatta. Köszönet illeti Dr. Horváth Győző témavezetőmet, aki tanácsaival segítette a dolgozatom elkészítését. Továbbá szintén köszönet illeti hallgatótársaimat, akik a terepi munkák során és az adatok adatbázisba vitelében nyújtottak segítséget.

Irodalomjegyzék

- ABRAMSKY, Z., ROSENZWEIG, M.L. & PINSHOW, B. 1991: The shape of a gerbil Isocline measured using principles of optimal habitat selection. *Ecology* 72: 329–340.
- ADLER, G.H. 1987: Influence of habitat structure on demography of two rodent species in eastern Massachusetts. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 65: 903–912.
- ANDRZEJEWSKI, R. & WROCLAWEK, H. 1961: Mass occurrence of *Apodemus agrarius* (Pallas, 1771) and variation in the number of associated Muridae. *Acta Theriologica* 5: 173–184.
- ANDRZEJEWSKI, R. & OLSZEWSKI, J. 1963: Social behaviour and interspecific relations in *Apodemus flavicollis* (Melchior, 1834) and *Clethrionomys glareolus* (Schreber, 1780). *Acta Theriologica* 7: 155–168.
- ANDRZEJEWSKI, R. 1975: Supplementary food and winter dynamics of bank voles populations. *Acta Theriologica* 20: 23–40
- BJØRNSTAD, O.N., ANDREASSEN, H.P., & IMS R.A. 1998: Effects of habitat patchiness and connectivity on the spatial ecology of the root vole *Microtus oeconomus*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 67: 127–140.
- BJØRNSTAD, O.N., IMS, R.A. & LAMBIN, X. 1999: Spatial population dynamics: analyzing patterns and processes of population synchrony. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11: 427–432.
- BRUNJES, K.J., BALLARD, W.B., HUMPHREY, M.H., HARWELL, F., MCINTYRE, N.E., KRAUSMAN, P.R. & WALLACE, M.C. 2009: Home-range size and overlap of sympatric male mule and white-tailed deer in Texas. *Western North American Naturalist* 69: 125–130.
- BUJALSKA, G. 1994: Female and male territoriality in the bank vole. In: Jarman, P.J., Rossiter, A. (eds.): *Animal Societies Individuals, Interactions and Organisations*. Kyoto University Press, pp. 56–69.
- CAMERON, G.N. 1971: Niche overlap and competition in woodrats. *Journal of Mammalogy* 52: 288–296

- CHELKOWSKA, H. 1969: Numbers of small rodents in five plant associations. *Ekologia Polska* (43): 847-854.
- CHRISTOPHER, C.C. & BARRET, G.W. 2006: Coexistence of white-footed mice (*Peromyscus leucopus*) and golden mice (*Ochrotomys nuttalli*) in a southeastern forest. *Journal of Mammalogy* 87: 102–107.
- CONNELL, J.H. 1983: On the prevalence and relative importance of interspecific competition: evidence from field experiments. *The American Naturalist* 122: 661–696.
- CONLEY, W. 1976: Competition between *Microtus*: a behavioral hypothesis. *Ecology* 57: 224–237.
- DANIELSON, B.J., & GAINES, M.S. 1987: Spatial patterns in two syntopic species of microtines: *Microtus ochrogaster* and *Synaptomys cooperi*. *Journal of Mammalogy* 68: 313–322.
- DAVIS, M.B. and SHAW, R.G. 2001: Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate change. *Science* 292: 673–79
- DEN BOER, P.J. 1981: On the survival of populations in a heterogeneous and variable environment. *Oecologia* 50: 39–53.
- DOBROWOLSKI, K., BANACH, A., KOZAKIEWICZ, A. & KOZAKIEWICZ, M. 1993: Effects of habitat barriers on animal populations and communities in heterogeneous landscapes. *Landscape ecology and agroecosystems* 61-70.
- ECCARD, J.A. & YLÖNEN, H. 2003: Interspecific competition in small rodents: from populations to individuals. *Evolutionary Ecology* 17: 423–440.
- FAHRIG, L. & MERRIAM, G. 1994: Conservation of fragmented populations. *Conservation Biology* 8:50–59
- FLOWERDEW, J.R. 1985: The population dynamics of wood mice and yellow-necked mice. *Symposia of the Zoological Society of London* 55: 315-338.
- FRETWELL, S.D. & LUCAS, H.L. JR. 1970: On territorial behavior and other factors indlurencing habitat distribution in birds: I. Theoretical development. *Acta Biotheoretica*. 19: 16–36.
- FOSTER, J. & GAINES, M.S. 1991: The effects of a succesional habitat mosaic on a small mammal community. *Ecology* 72: 1358–1373.
- GAINES, M.S., ROBINSON, G.R., DIFFENDORFER, J.E., HOLT, R.D. & JOHNSON, M.L. 1992: The effects of habitat fragmentation on small mammal population. In: McCullough, D.R. & Barrett, R.H. (eds.): *Wildlife 2001: populations*. Elsevier, New York. pp. 875-885.


- GLIWICZ, J. 1981: Competitive interactions within a forest rodent community in a forest-floor small mammal fauna. *Oikos* 37: 353–362.
- GRANT, P.R. 1971: Experimental studies of competitive interaction in a two species system. III. *Microtus* and *Peromyscus* species in enclosures. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 40: 323–350.
- GRANT, P.R. 1972: Interspecific competition among rodents. V. Summary of the evidence for rodent species, and some generalisations. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 3: 79–106.
- GROOM, M.J. & VYNNE, C.H. 2006: Habitat degradation and loss. In: GROOM, M. J.; MEFFE, G.K. & CARROL, C.R. (eds.) *Principles of Conservation Biology*. Sunderland, Sinauer Associates, pp.173-212
- GURNELL, J. 1985: Woodland rodent communities. *Symposia of the Zoological Society of London* 55: 377–411.
- HAAPAKOSKI, M. & YLÖNEN, H. 2010: Effects of fragmented breeding habitat and resource distribution on behavior and survival of the bank vole (*Myodes glareolus*). *Population Ecology* 52: 427–435.
- HANSKI, I. & GILPIN, M.E. 1997: *Metapopulation biology: ecology, genetics, and evolution*. Academic Press, London
- HANSSON, L. 1971: Estimates of the productivity of small mammals in a south Swedish spruce plantation. *Annals Zoology Fennici* 8: 118-26.
- HANSSON, L. 1998: Local Hot Spots and Their Edge Effects: Small Mammals in Oak-Hazel Woodland. *Oikos* 81(1): 55-62.
- HANSSON, L. 2002: Mammal movements and foraging at remnant woodlands inside coniferous forest landscape. *Forest Ecology and Management* 160: 109-114.
- HENTTONEN, H., KAIKUSALO, A., TAST, J. & VIITALA, J. 1977: Interspecific competition between small rodents in subarctic and boreal ecosystems. *Oikos* 29: 581–590.
- HESKE, E.J., 1995, Mammalian abundance in forest-farm edges versus forest interiors in Southern Illinois: is there an Edge Effect? *Journal of Mammalogy* 76 (2): 562-568.
- HOFFMEYER, I. 1973: Interaction and habitat selection in the mice *Apodemus flavicollis* and *A. sylvaticus*. *Oikos* 24: 108-116.

- HORVÁTH, GY., TRÓCSÁNYI, B., TÖLGYESI, M. & MÁTICS, R. 1996b: Contribution to striped field mouse *Apodemus agrarius* population dynamics in forest edge habitat. *Polish Ecological Studies* 22: 159-172.
- HORVÁTH, Gy. & TRÓCSÁNYI, B. 1998: Autumn home range size of *Apodemus agrarius* and small mammal population dynamics in the rodent assemblage of a *Quercus robur*-*Carpinetum* forest habitat. *Tiscia* 31:63-69.
- IMS, R.A. & ROLSTAD, J. & WEGGE, P. 1993: Predicting space use responses to habitat fragmentation: can voles *Microtus oeconomus* serve as an experimental model system (EMS) for capercaillie grouse *Tetrao urogallus* in boreal forest? *Biological Conservation* 63: 261–268.
- JANZEN, D.H. 1986: Mice, big mammals, and seeds: it matters who defecates what where. Frugivores and seed dispersal, ESTRADA A. and FLEMING, T. H. (eds.), Dr. W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, pp. 251-271.
- KINCAID, W. B. & CAMERON, G. N. 1982: Effects of species removal on resource utilisation in a Texas rodent community. *Journal of Mammalogy* 63: 229–235.
- KOPLIN, J.R. & HOFFMAN, R.S. 1968: Habitat overlap and competitive exclusion in voles (*Microtus*). *The American Midland Naturalist* 80: 494–507.
- KOZAKIEWICZ, M. 1995: Resource Tracking in Space and Time. In: Hansson, L. - Fahrig, L. - Merriam, G. (eds.): *Mosaic Landscapes and Ecological Processes*. Chapman and Hall, London. pp. 136-148.
- KOZAKIEWICZ, M., GORTAT, T., KOZAKIEWICZ, A. & BARKOWSKA, M. 1999: Effects of habitat fragmentation on four rodent species in Polish farm landscape. *Landscape Ecology* 14: 391-400.
- LIRO, A. & SZACKI, J. 1987: Movements of field mice *Apodemus agrarius* (Pallas) in a suburban mosaic of habitats. *Oecologia* 74: 438-440.
- LLOYD, M. 1967: Mean crowding. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 35:1-30.
- LÖFGREN, O. 1995: Niche expansion and increased maturation rate of *Clethrionomys glareolus* in the absence of competitors. *Journal Mammalogy* 76 (4): 1100–1112.
- MAZURKIEWICZ, M. 1984: Population density of small rodents as affected by chosen elements of tree stand structure. *Bulletin de L'Academie Polonaise de Science, serie de sciences biologiques* 32: 209-217.
- MAZURKIEWICZ, M. & RAJSKA-JURGIEL, E. 1998: Numbers, species composition and residency of a rodent community in forest and field-forest ecotones. *Acta theriol* 32: 413-432.
- M'CLOSKEY, R.T. 1976: Community structure in sympatric rodents. *Ecology* 57: 728–739

- M'CLOSKEY, R.T. 1978: Niche separation and assembly in four species of Sonoran Desert rodents. *Amer Natur* 112: 683–694
- METZGAR, L. H. & HILL, R. 1971: The measurement of dispersal in small mammals
- MONTGOMERY, W. I. 1989: Population Regulation in the Wood Mouse, *Apodemus sylvaticus*. II. Density Dependence in Spatial Distribution and Reproduction. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 58: 477-494.
- MURCIA, C. 1995: Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10: 58–62.
- PIMM, S. L., ROSENZWEIG, M.L. & MITCHELL, W. 1985: Competition and food selection: field test of a theory. *Ecology* 66: 798–807.
- POINDEXTER, C.J., SCHNELL, G.D., SÁNCHEZ-HERNÁNDEZ, C., DE LOURDES ROMERO-ALMARAZ, M., KENNEDY, M.L., BEST, T.L., WOOTEN, M.C. & OWEN, R.D. 2012: Variation in habitat use of coexisting rodent species in a tropical dry deciduous forest. *Mammalian Biology* 77: 249-257.
- PROMISLOW, D.E.L. & HARVEY, P.H. 1990: Living fast and dying young: A comparative analysis of life-history variation among mammals. *Journal of Zoology* 220(3): 417-437.
- PRIMACK, R. B. 1998: Essentials of conservation biology. Sinauer, Sunderland
- PUCEK, Z., JEDRZEJEWSKI, W., JEDRZEJEWSKA, B. & PUCEK, M. 1993: Rodent population dynamics in a primeval deciduous forest (Białowieża National Park) in relation to weather, seed crop, and predation. *Acta Theriol* 38: 199-232.
- PUSENIUS, J. & VIITALA, J. 1993: Varying spacing behaviour in breeding season in the field vole, *Microtus agrestis*. *Finnish Zoological Publishing Board* 30: 143-152.
- REDFIELD, J.A., KREBS, C.J. & TAITT M.J. 1977: Competition between *Peromyscus maniculatus* and *Microtus townsendii* in grasslands of coastal British Columbia. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 46: 607–616.
- RIES, L., FLETCHER, R.J., BATTIN, J. & SISK, T.D. 2004: Ecological responses to habitat edges: Mechanisms, models, and variability explained. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 35: 491–522.
- SAUNDERS, D.A., HOBBS, R.J. and MARGULES, C.R. 1991: Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. *Conservation Biology* 5:18–32
- SCHOENER, T. S. 1983: Field experiments on interspecific competition. *The American Naturalist* 122: 240–285.


- STAMPS, J.A., BUECHNER, M. & KRISHNAN, V.V. 1987: Permeability and habitat geometry on emigration from patches of habitat. *The American Naturalist* 129(4): 533–552.
- SEKGOROANE, G.B. & DILWORTH, T.G. 1995: Relative abundance, richness and diversity of small mammals at induced forest edges. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 73: 1432–1437.
- STENSETH, N.C. 1980: Spatial heterogeneity and population stability: some evolutionary consequences. *Oikos* 35: 165–184.
- STENSETH, N.C. 1985: Mathematical models of the microtine cycle: Models and the real world. *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 173: 7–12.
- STENSETH, N.C., VILJUGREIN, H., JEDRZEJEWSKI, W., MYSTERUD, A. & PUCEK, Z. 2002: population dynamics of *Clethrionomys glareolus* and *Apodemus flavicollis*: seasonal components of density dependence and density independence. *Acta Theriologica* 47(Suppl. 1): 39-67.
- STOECKER, R.E. 1972: Competitive relations between sympatric populations of voles (*Microtus montanus* and *M. pennsylvanicus*). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 41: 311–329.
- SZACKI, J. & LIRO, A. 1991: Movements of small mammals in the heterogeneous landscape. *Landscape Ecology* 5(4): 219-224.
- WALTHER, G., POST, E., CONVEY, P., MENZEL, A., PARMESANK, C., BEEBEE, T. J. C., FROMENTIN, J., HOEGH-GULDBERGL, O. & BAIRLEIN, F. 2002: Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416: 389–395.
- WIENS, J. A., STENSETH, N.C., VAN HORNE, B. & IMS, R.A. 1993: Ecological mechanism and landscape ecology. *Oikos* 66: 369–380.
- WÓJCIK, J.M. & WOLK, K. 1985: The daily activity rhythm of two competitive rodents: *C. glareolus* and *A. flavicollis*. *Acta Theriologica* 30: 241-258.
- YLÖNEN, H., JACOB, J., DAVIES, M.J. & SINGLETON, G.R. 2002: Predation risk and habitat selection of Australian house mice (*Mus domesticus*) during an incipient plague: desperate behaviour due to food depletion. *Oikos* 99: 285–290.
- ZAR, J.H. 2010: Biostatistical analysis. 5th Edition. Pearson Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ., 944 pp.
- ZEJDA, J. 1967: Habitat selection in *Apodemus agrarius* (Pallas, 1771; *Mammalia*, *Muridae*) on the border of the area of its distribution. *Zoology Listy* 16: 15-24.

Függelék



15. ábra: A vizsgált fajpárok térbeli mintázatának grafikus elemzése az L3 jelzésű kvadrát adatai alapján (2013, 2014).


A. flavicollis vs. *A. agrarius* E3



2013

Apodemus agrarius
Mintaszám (N): 5
Csapdászám: 49
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 0,102 (0,059)

Apodemus flavicollis
Mintaszám (N): 83
Csapdászám: 49
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 1,694 (0,196)




2014

Apodemus agrarius
Mintaszám (N): 51
Csapdászám: 195
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 0,262 (0,069)

Apodemus flavicollis
Mintaszám (N): 171
Csapdászám: 195
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 0,877 (0,128)

Myodes glareolus vs. *Microtus arvalis* E3




2014

Myodes glareolus
Mintaszám (N): 31
Csapdászám: 49
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 0,63 (0,107)

Microtus arvalis
Mintaszám (N): 4
Csapdászám: 49
Átlag denzitás (±SE): 0,082 (0,049)


A. flavicollis vs. *M. glareolus* E3



2013

Myodes glareolus
Mintaszám (N): 3
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,061 (0,034)

Apodemus flavicollis
Mintaszám (N): 83
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 1,694 (0,196)




2014

Myodes glareolus
Mintaszám (N): 31
Csapdászám: 195
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,159 (0,033)

Apodemus flavicollis
Mintaszám (N): 171
Csapdászám: 195
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,877 (0,128)

A. flavicollis vs. *M. arvalis* E3




2014

M. arvalis
Mintaszám (N): 4
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,082 (0,049)

A. flavicollis
Mintaszám (N): 171
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 3,49 (0,271)


A. agrarius vs. *M. glareolus* E3



2013

Myodes glareolus
Mintaszám (N): 3
Csapdászám: 36
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,083 (0,046)

Apodemus agrarius
Mintaszám (N): 5
Csapdászám: 36
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,139 (0,080)




2014

Apodemus agrarius
Mintaszám (N): 51
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 1,04 (0,243)

Myodes glareolus
Mintaszám (N): 31
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,633 (0,107)

A. agrarius vs. *M. arvalis* E3



2014

Apodemus agrarius
Mintaszám (N): 51
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 1,041 (0,243)

Microtus arvalis
Mintaszám (N): 4
Csapdászám: 49
Átlagos denzitás (±SE): 0,082 (0,049)

16. ábra: A vizsgált fajpárok térbeli mintázatának grafikus elemzése az E3 jelzésű kvadrát adatai alapján (2013)