

1 OBSERVABLES FROM A PERTURBATIVE, 2 ACCELERATING SOLUTION OF RELATIVISTIC 3 HYDRODYNAMICS*

4 BÁLINT KURGYIS, MÁTÉ CSANÁD

5 Eötvös Loránd University
6 1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/A, Hungary

7 (*Received December 20, 2018*)

5213

8 The discovery of the almost perfect fluid-like nature of the strongly
9 interacting quark–gluon plasma was one of the most important discover-
10 ies of heavy-ion physics in recent decades. The experimental results are
11 well-described by hydrodynamical models. Most of these models are nu-
12 merical simulations, however the analytic solutions are also important in
13 understanding the time evolution of the quark–gluon plasma created in the
14 heavy-ion collisions. Here, we present a perturbative, accelerating solution
15 on top of a known solution, the relativistic Hubble flow. We describe the
16 perturbative class of solutions and calculate a few observables for a selected
17 solution.

18 DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.12.????

19 1. Introduction

The quark–gluon plasma was discovered in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC [1, 2] and it was also created at the LHC [3, 4]. Relativistic hydrodynamics can be utilized to describe the properties of the medium from the initial thermalization (~ 1 fm/c) until the hadronization (~ 10 fm/c), when hadrons are created and freeze-out takes place. To obtain the equations of hydrodynamics, one has to consider the energy and momentum conservation, which can be formalized this way:

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor. In the case of perfect fluid hydrodynamics, it is the following:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu - pg^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

* Presented at the XIII Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy, Kraków, Poland, May 22–26, 2018.

with u^μ being the flow field (where the $u_\mu u^\mu = 1$ constraint applies), ε the energy density and p the pressure. This tensor equation can be projected into a time-like and a space-like equation to make the calculations easier. By performing the projections, we get the Euler and the energy equations

$$(\varepsilon + p)u^\mu \partial_\mu u^\nu = (g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu) \partial_\mu p, \quad (3)$$

$$u^\mu \partial_\mu \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + p) \partial_\mu u^\mu = 0. \quad (4)$$

In addition to conserved energy and momentum, we assume that the system has some conserved charge density n

$$\partial_\mu (n u^\mu) = 0. \quad (5)$$

If there are no conserved charges (at zero chemical potential), we can derive a similar conservation equation for the entropy density σ . To get a full set of equations, one has to introduce thermodynamical relations, for this we take the Equation of State (EoS) in the form of $\varepsilon = \kappa p$, where κ is a constant during our calculations, but we have to note that it could be a temperature-dependent $\kappa(T)$ [5]. These equations should be solved in order to get the time evolution of a relativistic fluid. We can do this either by numerical simulations or looking for analytic solutions. The latter is a rather challenging task, but it also gives us a better understanding of the connection between the initial and final state of the matter. Now, we are focusing on analytic solutions.

2. The known solution: Hubble flow

For the above equations, several different sets of solutions exist. Historically, the first ones were 1+1D solutions: the Landau–Khalatnikov [6, 7] and the Hwa–Bjorken [8, 9] solutions. Inspired by the QGP and its fluid nature, new solutions were found. One important example is the first relativistic 3+1D solution with realistic geometry, the Hubble flow solution of Ref. [10], describing a self-similar expansion. The geometry of the solution is characterized by the scaling variable S and an arbitrary function $\mathcal{N}(S)$ appearing in the density distribution. The scaling variable has vanishing comoving derivative ($u_\mu \partial^\mu S = 0$). The importance of this solution in heavy-ion physics is that it describes well the measured experimental data of hadrons and even photons [11, 12]. This solution describes an acceleration-less ($u_\mu \partial^\mu u^\nu = 0$) Hubble flow. We would like to describe a system “similar” to the original Hubble flow, but with small acceleration and pressure gradient. For this, we study the perturbations on top of this known solution.

3. The perturbations of the Hubble flow

To get the perturbations on top of the Hubble flow, here we follow the same method as in Ref. [13] and the idea is similar to that of Ref. [14]. To begin with, we introduce perturbations of hydrodynamical fields ($u^\mu \rightarrow u^\mu + \delta u^\mu, p \rightarrow p + \delta p, \dots$) to be substituted to the general equations of hydrodynamics. Then we subtract the zeroth order equations, since they describe an already known solution, and we neglect the second or higher order terms of perturbations. This way, we end up with the general first order equations, as given in Ref. [13], and after this, we have to substitute the fields of Hubble flow. In addition, we can derive a constraint for the four-velocity perturbation by considering the normalization of the four velocity: $u_\mu \delta u^\mu = 0$. We found a set of general solutions in the following form:

$$\delta u^\mu = \delta \cdot F(\tau) g(x_\mu) \chi(S) \partial^\mu S, \quad (6)$$

$$\delta p = \delta \cdot p_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} \right)^{3+\frac{3}{\kappa}} \pi(S), \quad (7)$$

$$\delta n = \delta \cdot n_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} \right)^3 h(x_\mu) \nu(S). \quad (8)$$

Here, δ is the perturbation scale and g, h, F, χ, π, ν are arbitrary functions of x^μ, τ and S , respectively, under the following restriction equations:

$$\frac{\chi'(S)}{\chi(S)} = -\frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu S}{\partial_\mu S \partial^\mu S} - \frac{\partial_\mu S \partial^\mu \ln g(x_\mu)}{\partial_\mu S \partial^\mu S}, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\pi'(S)}{\chi(S)} = (\kappa + 1) \left[F(\tau) \left(u^\mu \partial_\mu g - \frac{3g(x_\mu)}{\kappa\tau} \right) + F'(\tau) g(x_\mu) \right], \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\nu(S)}{\chi(S) \mathcal{N}'(S)} = -\frac{F(\tau) g(x_\mu) \partial_\mu S \partial^\mu S}{u^\mu \partial_\mu h(x_\mu)}. \quad (11)$$

It is important to observe that the terms on the left of each restriction equation are functions of solely S , therefore, this should apply to the right-hand sides as well. Thus, we cannot find a solution for these equations with any scaling variable with vanishing comoving derivative, but only with appropriately chosen F, h, g and an S accordingly.

4. A concrete solution

Here, we shortly discuss a simple, concrete solution that is investigated in more details in Ref. [13]. For the scaling variable, we chose the spherically symmetric $S = t/r$, the $m = -1$ case of Ref. [13] Section 4. The F, g, h functions are the following:

$$F(\tau) = \tau + c\tau_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{\kappa}}, \quad (12)$$

$$g(x_\mu) = 1, \quad (13)$$

$$h(x_\mu) = \begin{cases} \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right) + c \frac{\kappa}{3-\kappa} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{\kappa}-1} & \text{if } \kappa \neq 3, \\ (1+c) \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right) & \text{if } \kappa = 3, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

74 where c is an arbitrary parameter of the solution. For the charge density,
 75 we assume a Gaussian profile: $\mathcal{N}(S) = \exp(-r^2/t^2) = \exp(-S^{-2})$. To
 76 study this solution, we used the original Hubble flow model parameters of
 77 Refs. [11, 12], where hadron and photon spectra were fitted with observables
 78 calculated from the original Hubble flow. An example plot for the proper
 79 time evolution of the four velocity perturbation is shown in Fig. 1. We found
 80 that for small radial distances and large values of δ , the perturbations give
 81 a large contribution to the system, comparable with the original solution.
 82 This sets a limit to the applicability for this given solution, however, this is
 83 not necessarily a general property of this class of perturbations.




Fig. 1. The proper time evolution of the four-velocity perturbation in the x - y plane.

84

5. Observables

Let us now focus on observables that can be calculated from the investigated hydrodynamical solutions. The source function is given by a Maxwell-Jüttner distribution similarly as in Ref. [12]

$$S(x, p) = Nn \exp \left(-\frac{p_\mu u^\mu}{T} \right) H(\tau) p_\mu d^3 \Sigma^\mu(x^\mu) d\tau, \quad (15)$$

85 where N is a normalization factor, T is the temperature ($T = p/n$). The
 86 $p_\mu d^3 \Sigma^\mu(x^\mu)$ term is the Cooper-Frye factor, and assuming that the freeze-
 87 out happens at a constant τ_0 proper time, the $H(\tau)$ becomes a Dirac-delta:
 88 $H(\tau) = \delta(\tau - \tau_0)$. The perturbed source function can be calculated by

substituting the perturbed fields and neglecting the second or higher order terms. The perturbed source function is the following:

$$S(x, p) = Nn \exp\left(-\frac{p_\mu u^\mu}{T}\right) \delta(\tau - \tau_0) \frac{p_\mu u^\mu}{u^0} (1 + \Delta) d\tau d^3x, \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta = \left[\frac{\delta u^0}{u^0} + \frac{p_\mu \delta u^\mu}{p_\nu u^\nu} - \frac{p_\mu \delta u^\mu}{T} + \frac{p_\mu u^\mu \delta T}{T^2} + \frac{\delta n}{n} \right]. \quad (17)$$

We can calculate the single-particle momentum distribution by integrating the source function over the space-time coordinates

$$N_1(p) = \int S(x, p) d\tau d^3x. \quad (18)$$

The left plot of Fig. 2 shows the ratio of the original and the perturbed transverse momentum distributions for different values of c and δ . The same concrete solution was used as in Section 4, and in Ref. [13] with model parameters from Refs. [11, 12]. For the calculation, a Gaussian saddle-point approximation was used. The perturbations are small except at $p_T \sim 0$ MeV/ c . We also calculated the one dimensional HBT radius (femtoscopic homogeneity length) [15, 16] for this particular solution. The right plot of Fig. 2 shows the $R_{\text{HBT}}^2 \propto 1/\sqrt{m_t}$ transverse mass scaling of the HBT radii. The perturbed source is a two-component Gaussian, therefore, we have two radii, R_1 is equal to the original radius, and the perturbed radius is some average of R_2 and R_1 . The perturbations cause only small deviation from the original values of the HBT radii.




Fig. 2. The ratio of the original and the perturbed single-particle momentum distribution calculated for the particular solution from Sec. 4 is in the left plot. In the right plot, the transverse mass scaling of the HBT radii is shown.

6. Summary

We found a new class of accelerating, perturbative solutions on top of the relativistic Hubble flow. In the investigated particular case, the hydrodynamical fields gave large contributions for small radial distances, which is a limiting factor to the applicability of that concrete solution. However, by calculating some observables, we saw that in the case of experimentally measurable quantities, the perturbations cause only small deviations from the original observables.

The authors express gratitude for the support of the Hungarian NKIFH grant No. FK-123842. B. Kurgyis was supported by the ÚNKP-18-1-I-ELTE-320 New National Excellence Program of the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities. M. Csanád was supported by the ÚNKP-18-4 New National Excellence Program of the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Adcox *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], *Nucl. Phys. A* **757**, 184 (2005) [arXiv:nuc1-ex/0410003].
- [2] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], *Nucl. Phys. A* **757**, 102 (2005) [arXiv:nuc1-ex/0501009].
- [3] K. Aamodt *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 252302 (2010) [arXiv:1011.3914 [nuc1-ex]].
- [4] K. Aamodt *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **696**, 30 (2011) [arXiv:1012.1004 [nuc1-ex]].
- [5] M. Csanád, M. I. Nagy, S. Lökös, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **48**, 173 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5965 [nuc1-th]].
- [6] L.D. Landau, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* **17**, 51 (1953).
- [7] I.M. Khalatnikov, *Zhur. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **27**, 529 (1954).
- [8] R.C. Hwa, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 2260 (1974).
- [9] J.D. Bjorken, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 140 (1983).
- [10] T. Csörgő, L.P. Csernai, Y. Hama, T. Kodama, *Acta Phys. Hung. A* **21**, 73 (2004) [arXiv:nuc1-th/0306004].
- [11] M. Csanád, I. Májer, *Central Eur. J. Phys.* **10**, 850 (2012) [arXiv:1101.1279 [nuc1-th]].
- [12] M. Csanád, M. Vargyas, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **44**, 473 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4842 [nuc1-th]].
- [13] B. Kurgyis, M. Csanád, *Universe* **3**, 84 (2017) [arXiv:1711.05446 [nuc1-th]].
- [14] S. Shi, J. Liao, P. Zhuang, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 064912 (2014) [arXiv:1405.4546 [hep-th]].
- [15] R. Hanbury Brown, R.Q. Twiss, *Nature* **178**, 1046 (1956).
- [16] G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, W.Y. Lee, A. Pais, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 300 (1960).