



Kellerwessel klaus <kellerwesselklaus@gmail.com>

Acceptance Notification

1 üzenet

ECP 2025, 1-4 July <ecp2025@easyacademia.org>
Címzett: kellerwesselklaus@gmail.com

2024. december 6. 14:47

ECP 2025, 1 - 4 July 2025

19th European Congress of Psychology

Submission #121 accepted

December 6th, 2024

Dear Klaus Kellerwessel,

Thank you for your submission to ECP 2025. On behalf of the Scientific Committee, we are pleased to inform you that your submission entitled "*Not the happiest words came to my mind*" – *prediction of depression score based on AI art prompts* has been accepted as **Oral Presentation (15 minutes)**.

The provisional scientific programme will be published on the conference website(<https://ecp2025.eu/>) in due course. This will include the day and time of your presentation. Please bear in mind that your presentation may be scheduled at any time between Wednesday 2nd and Friday 4th of July. Due to the large number of submissions, individual requests for presentations on specific days or times will not be considered unless there are exceptional circumstances.

Please [register](#) and pay by 1st of April 2025 to ensure that your contribution is included in the programme.

As a presenter, you must [register](#) for the conference and pay by Tuesday 1st of April 2025 which is the deadline for the early bird reduced rate. If you fail to register and pay by this date, we will unfortunately have to remove your presentation from the congress programme and your abstract from the abstract book.

Please find the comments from the reviewers below, for your consideration:

Reviewer comments

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this abstract. This abstract fits well within track 3, given its AI component, but could also be relevant to track 6 due to its focus on mental health and the treatment of major depressive disorder. Overall, the abstract demonstrates clarity in both formulation and conceptualisation, and appears to be quite original in terms of innovation. The methods and interpretations also seem appropriate. The quality of writing is good, and the abstract contributes to the field, even though implications are not mentioned but are expected to be discussed during the presentation. Some notes I took while reviewing: What quantitative tools were used? Where were the participants recruited from? What was the mean age and standard deviation? What was the gender distribution? What was the approach used for the content analysis, and on what basis? Did the participants have a formal diagnosis? What are the participant demographics? Are there any possible limitations? I suggest sharing these comments with the author(s) to inform their presentation.

We look forward to seeing you in Paphos in July!

Kind regards,

Prof. Dr Ioulia Papageorgi
Chair of the ECP 2025 Scientific Committee

You are receiving this message because you have an account with Easy Academia

© 2024 www.easyacademia.org